

**Attestation procedure
for organizing independent work in the discipline " Phthiziatriy" for students in the
educational program of specialty 31.05.01 " Medical science "(specialty level) full-time
form of study for the 2025-2026 academic year**

Independent work of students will be implemented in accordance with Order No. 1431-KO of August 30, 2023 in the form of essays.

The evaluation criteria are as follows:

Task type	Evaluation criteria
Abstract	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Technical assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with the rules of the speech <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance with the requirements for the elements of the speech 2. Content assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of the structure and logic of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of links and transitions between parts of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Disclosure of the topic in the report 3. Aesthetic assessment (assessment of public speaking skills) (if required): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Speech rate <input type="checkbox"/> Speech volume <input type="checkbox"/> Use of appropriate stylistics and vocabulary 4. Assessment of the non-verbal component of the report (if required): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Attitude in front of the audience <input type="checkbox"/> Use of gestures, facial expressions and pantomimes to support verbal information 5. Evaluation of the group report (if required): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution of parts of the report among speakers in terms of time and content <input type="checkbox"/> Consideration of individual characteristics of speakers when distributing parts of the report among speakers 6. Answers to questions based on the results of the report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Psychological readiness to answer <input type="checkbox"/> Correct argumentation of answers <input type="checkbox"/> Demeanor 7. Additionally, other students ask questions to the speaker (if applicable): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The question is aimed at obtaining information that was not explicitly reflected in the report <input type="checkbox"/> The question is not aimed at identifying information known to students <input type="checkbox"/> The question shows that the student is analyzing the speaker's information

Criteria for evaluating Independent work

Тип садані	Критерии і і оценки	Score on a 5-point scale			
		5	4	3	2

Independent work is in the form of essay	• Compliance with the performance criteria сроков выполнения работы	met	met	met	not met
	• Completeness of studying the material	fully studied	fully studied	fully studied	not fully understood
	• Completing current test tasks (% of correct answers in each test)	91-100	76-90	61-75	< 61
	• Completing the final evaluation section	91-100	76-90	61-75	< 61

At the end of each study, the student's Rspo is calculated and the calculated value is converted to a 100-point scale according to Table 3.

The absence of current debt is considered to be an Rspo value of more than 61 points.

Considered at the meeting of the department of phthizipulmonology «30» May 2025, protocol No 11

Head of the Department



Olga Nikolaevna Barkanova